Daar Chairman Fourell

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 2 1 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

Is a citizen of the Greatest Nation in the world I am deeply concurred about the proposed changes to the rules of ownesship.

Even now some Media slant their "new" reporting to present their point of view. It these people are allowed to own all the Padio, TV, and Mews pritted medic in an area or community, that is the only view the Public will recieve.

The prime example which somes to mind is the CBS 60 menutes report on how allow was possening the Food supply. After it was proven scientifically that this was untrue, CBS never acknowleged that their report was evonious.

Please in the interest of the public right to differing points of view, so not allow this medice mornophy to happen "

"Truth in Advertising", perhaps we need a set of "Truth in Reporting" rules.

It is within your power to provide the american Public with this right.

Thank you for your consideration and Service in

Respectfully: Joseph Miesle Joe & Janet Mieslo 8503 Byron Road Howell, MI 483.5 MAY 10 2003 Dictribution Contest RECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAY 2 1 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

Commined

MAY 2 2 2003

Die Litelien Conter

The Honorable Kevin J. Martin, Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12 th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear Mr. Martin,

Please don't relax the broadcast ownerships rules that protect American citizens from media monopolies, this is very important to all Americans.

Thank you very much.

Daniel F. Hewitt 502 Anita Street 68

Chula Vista CA 91911

CLYDE F. HALL

1803 Avenue P Huntsville, Texas 77340 936-293-1346

May 13, 2003

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAY 2 1 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

The Honorable Michael K. Powell Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Confirmed

MAY 2 2 2003

Distribution Center

Dear Chairman Powell:

Please do not relax the broadcast rules that protect me and others from a monopoly in the media. I now pay additional fees to receive news that is not available in the basic rate of my cable network, because I do not live in a large metropolitan area.

The proposal to allow those with lots of money to control the radio and television news in any or all areas across our nation is not the "American Way" of free speech. We need to be able to hear more than one viewpoint so that we can make an informed decision. I now have friends who want to visit me because of stations that I have that are not available to them because they have another provider of their cable.

I urge you to continue to support the broadcast ownership protection that we now have to ensures a healthy debate in the United States of America.

Yours truly,

CFH/ct

CLYDE F. HALL

1803 Avenue P Huntsville, Texas 77340 936-293-1346

May 13, 2003



The Honorable Kathleen Q. Abernathy Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554 Contirmed

MAY 2 2 2003

Diotribution Center

Dear Commissioner Abernathy:

Please do not relax the broadcast rules that protect me and others from a monopoly in the media. I now pay additional fees to receive news that is not available in the basic rate of my cable network, because I do not live in a large metropolitan area.

The proposal to allow those with lots of money to control the radio and television news in any or all areas across our nation is not the "American Way" of free speech. We need to be able to hear more than one viewpoint so that we can make an informed decision. I now have friends who want to visit me because of stations that I have that are not available to them because they have another provider of their cable.

I urge you to continue to support the broadcast ownership protection that we now have to ensures a healthy debate in the United States of America.

Yours truly,

CFH/ct

Confirmed

FCC - MAILROOM

Cear Ms ahemathy 2 2 2003 Please de Distinuereze the ownership rules or TV + radio . Hæge companies well control what is broadcast + we will never get Dedes of any stary Thonks for your consideration.

> David & Rita Hatfield 34310 David Lane Brighton, IL 62012

Rita & Hathers 34310 Douid Lane Brighton 20 62012

Confirmend MAY 2 2 2003

Distribution Co.

16351 Hollywood Lane Huntington Beach, CA 92649-2634 May 14, 2003

The Honorable Jonathan S. Adelstein Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear Mr. Adelstein:

1.5

I urge you not to increase the percentage of TV stations that one company can own nor relax the restrictions on one company owning both TV stations and newspapers in a single city.

Success of democratic government requires an informed public. If one company or special interest group controls too much of the media, it can push its own political agenda, gain public support for its candidates and control what the public thinks. In addition, it can control the advertising within its area.

This gives one company or special interest group the power to control a city, state and, if large enough, to control the nation. Giving such power to one company or group is not in our best interests nor the best interests of our country.

Again, I urge you not to increase the power of media companies or special interest groups.

Sincerely yours,

Jahn R Leuri

John R Lewis

registration of the second

Ar. 111

 $\begin{array}{lll} & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & &$

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAY 2 1 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

Confirmed

MAY 2 2 2003

Diagraphica Center

16351 Hollywood Lane Huntington Beach, CA 92649-2634 May 14, 2003

The Honorable Kathleen Q. Abernathy Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear Ms. Abernathy:

I urge you not to increase the percentage of TV stations that one company can own nor relax the restrictions on one company owning both TV stations and newspapers in a single city.

Success of democratic government requires an informed public. If one company or special interest group controls too much of the media, it can push its own political agenda, gain public support for its candidates and control what the public thinks. In addition, it can control the advertising within its area.

This gives one company or special interest group the power to control a city, state and, if large enough, to control the nation. Giving such power to one company or group is not in our best interests nor the best interests of our country.

Again, I urge you not to increase the power of media companies or special interest groups.

in the straight of the state of

Sincerely yours,

John R Lewis

The state of the s

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAY 2 7 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

rangiamed

MAY 22 2003

Oktaination Center

16351 Hollywood Lane Huntington Beach, CA 92649-2634 May 14, 2003

The Honorable Kevin J. Martin Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear Mr. Martin:

ing, in La

I urge you not to increase the percentage of TV stations that one company can own nor relax the restrictions on one company owning both TV stations and newspapers in a single city.

Success of democratic government requires an informed public. If one company or special interest group controls too much of the media, it can push its own political agenda, gain public support for its candidates and control what the public thinks. In addition, it can control the advertising within its area.

This gives one company or special interest group the power to control a city, state and, if large enough, to control the nation. Giving such power to one company or group is not in our best interests nor the best interests of our country.

Again, I urge you not to increase the power of media companies or special interest groups.

Sincerely yours,

John R Lewis

er i Harriorio de La Companya de La

Street Branch Commence

60

Common May 2 2 2003 Dictricusum Correct RECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAY 2 1 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

16351 Hollywood Lane Huntington Beach, CA 92649-2634 May 14, 2003

The Honorable Michael J. Copps Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear Mr. Copps:

I urge you not to increase the percentage of TV stations that one company can own nor relax the restrictions on one company owning both TV stations and newspapers in a single city.

A successful democratic government requires an informed public. If one company or special interest group controls too much of the media, it can push its own political agenda, gain public support for its candidates and control what the public thinks. In addition, it can control the advertising within its area.

This gives one company or special interest group the power to control a city, state and, if large enough, to control the nation. Giving such power to one company or group is not in our best interests nor the best interests of our country.

Again, I urge you not to increase the power of media companies or special interest groups.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely yours,

Amy Lewis

if Of By

RECEIVED & INSPECTED FCC - MAILROOM



16351 Hollywood Lane Huntington Beach, CA 92649-2634 May 14, 2003

The Honorable Michael K. Powell Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear Mr. Powell:

I urge you not to increase the percentage of TV stations that one company can own nor relax the restrictions on one company owning both TV stations and newspapers in a single city.

Success of democratic government requires an informed public. If one company or special interest group controls too much of the media, it can push its own political agenda, gain public support for its candidates and control what the public thinks. In addition, it can control the advertising within its area.

This gives one company or special interest group the power to control a city, state and, if large enough, to control the nation. Giving such power to one company or group is not in our best interests nor the best interests of our country.

Again, I urge you not to increase the power of media companies or special interest groups.

Sincerely yours,

John R Lewis

San agreed to the second MERCHANISH SHOWN BY and the second of the second o

Section 1. The section of the sectio

Saturday, May 10, 2003 The Honorable Michael J. Copps, Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12 th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Com

MAY 2 / 2003



Please don't relax the broadcast ownerships rules that protect American citizens from media monopolies, This is very important to all Americans.

Thank you very much.

Marjorie Kobzeff 502 Anita Street 63

Chula Vista CA 91911

 $(-\infty, -\infty) = (-\infty, -\infty) = (-\infty, -\infty)$

George Wm. Stewart, 1244 Whip-O-Will Lane, Montvale, VA 24122 (540) 947-5065 constructions cam

Thursday, May 8, 2003

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

The Honorable Jonathan S. Adelstein, Commissioner F. C. C.

Dear Mr. Adelstein

Confidence

MAY 2 2 2003

Good morning. The best to you today.

Is there really is a plan, process, consideration of or even thought of broadcast rules that would allow media monopolies? If so, please know I am much opposed to such.

The freedom of speech, the freedom to share differing opinions, the opportunity to hear more then one side of a given issue.. these are all necessary for a land to be truly free. I want to be able to hear many sides of an issue and I seriously doubt that any kind of media monopoly would long allow for that.

It is my trust that no media monopoly or monopolies will come into being in the U.S.A. In event such do come I trust that action would be quick to abolish them. Most likely there already exists some law that could be called upon to oppose their existence. I'm sure there is a freedom of information law somewhere that could be called to challenge their limiting of information. Let us trust there will be no need to bring suit in order to regain for the public the right to hear various positions, debates and concerns.

At any rate, please do all you can to avoid what could easily become information constipation and/or a concept choke hold and/or even a limitation that effectively becomes thought control.

Thank you in advance for your work in this regard.

A response from you would be much appreciated.

George Wm. Stewart

Heorge Wm. Stewart

George Wm. Stewart, 1244 Whip-O-Will Lane, Montvale, VA 24122

(540) 947-5065 <u>mostvert/animo com</u> Thursday, May 8, 2003

The Honorable Michael J. Copps, Commissioner F. C. C.

Conformed F. C. C.

Dear Mr. Copps

MAY 2 2 2003

Good morning. The best to you today.

Is there really is a plan, process, consideration of or even thought of broadcast rules that would allow media monopolies? If so, please know I am much opposed to such.

The freedom of speech, the freedom to share differing opinions, the opportunity to hear more then one side of a given issue.. these are all necessary for a land to be truly free. I want to be able to hear many sides of an issue and I seriously doubt that any kind of media monopoly would long allow for that.

It is my trust that no media monopoly or monopolies will come into being in the U. S. A. In event such do come I trust that action would be quick to abolish them. Most likely there already exists some law that could be called upon to oppose their existence. I'm sure there is a freedom of information law somewhere that could be called to challenge their limiting of information. Let us trust there will be no need to bring suit in order to regain for the public the right to hear various positions, debates and concerns.

At any rate, please do all you can to avoid what could easily become information constipation and/or a concept choke hold and/or even a limitation that effectively becomes thought control.

Thank you in advance for your work in this regard.

A response from you would be much appreciated.

George Wm. Stewart

MAY 2 1 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

George Wm. Stewart, 1244 Whip-O-Will Lane, Montvale, VA 241726 (540) 947-5065 george Wm. Thursday, May 8, 2003

The Honorable Kevin J. Martin, Commissioner F. C. C. Mali Room

Confirmed

MAY 2 2 2003

Good morning. The best to you today. Contact

Is there really is a plan, process, consideration of or even thought of broadcast rules that would allow media monopolies? If so, please know I am much opposed to such.

The freedom of speech, the freedom to share differing opinions, the opportunity to hear more then one side of a given issue.. these are all necessary for a land to be truly free. I want to be able to hear many sides of an issue and I seriously doubt that any kind of media monopoly would long allow for that.

It is my trust that no media monopoly or monopolies will come into being in the U. S. A. In event such do come I trust that action would be quick to abolish them. Most likely there already exists some law that could be called upon to oppose their existence. I'm sure there is a freedom of information law somewhere that could be called to challenge their limiting of information. Let us trust there will be no need to bring suit in order to regain for the public the right to hear various positions, debates and concerns,

At any rate, please do all you can to avoid what could easily become information constipation and/or a concept choke hold and/or even a limitation that effectively becomes thought control.

Thank you in advance for your work in this regard.

A response from you would be much appreciated.

George Wm. Stewart

Heorge Wm. Stewart

Confirmed'

May 15, 2003

MAY 2 2 2003

Honorable Michael K. Powell
Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAY 2 1 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

Dear Chairman Powell.

I urge you to not relax the restrictions on broadcast ownership that have been effective in protecting this nation and its citizens from media monopoly. With the real threat of media bias always before us the Commission needs to be diligent to keep avenues for communication of different viewpoints available to the population in general.

Thank you for your careful consideration of my concerns and for your service to this great nation.

Sincerely,

León A. Leonard 1712 Hodges Circle Mansfield, GA 30055

c: Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Kevin J. Martin

Jonathan S. Adelstein Michael J. Copps

Prentice Composit 207 Whitley 1202 Stanfard Ky 1/4

Continue

MAY 2 2 2003

Dear Mr. Powell, It has come to ony attention that ACL Time Warner, Viacom and Disney among other medical companies, have asked the FCC to make sweeping

changes to the Broadcus T Ownership Rules:

Relaxing these rules would provide these, already powerful companies with unlimited control. control of information, control of who gets to exercise freedom of speech, control of heavis and minds. Remember that the digital spections

was given free of change to these communications giants by the U.S. Congress. What! gre you

surprised I knew about that! You should be.

These very same media companies barely mention well this give away in their news outlers. They didn't allow any strong voice to speakout any giving billions of dollars worth of the citizens

property to these already wealthy companies.

This is only one example of the media controling information and manipulating the "People" to further its own self interest and promoting The social political orgenda of its officers.
Please do your job and protect the interest of the People". These companies have shown that they will abuse their power. Giving them more power to control free speech on a

national and worldwide level would undermine the very foundation of the constitution.

Sincerely Ventire Contine PS. I haven't seen a single news report debating the prostcons of changing the Broadcast Conership Rules. Have you? RECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAY 2 1 2003

FCC-MAILROOM

Prentice C. Crawford 207 Whitley Ave. Stanford, KY 40484-1448

Prentice Countingle 207 whitey ALC Sountard Ky 40864

Dear Mr Adelstein,

It has come to my attention that ACL Time MAY 21 2003

Warner, Viacom and Disney among other medifice-MAILROOM

companies, have asked the FCC to make succepting

changes to the Broadcast Chunership Rules.

changes to the Broadcast Ownership Rules.
Relaxing these rales would provide these, alread,
powerful Companies with antimited control.
control of information, control of who gets to
exercise freedom of speech, control of hearis
and minds. Remember that the digital spectrum,
was given free of change to these communication
giants by the US. Congress. What? are you
surprised I knew about that? You should be

Surprised I knew about that? You should be.
These very same media companies barely mentioned this give away in their news outlers. They didn't allow any strong voice to speakout against giving billions of dollars worth of the cirisms property to these already wealthy companies.

This is only one example of the media controling, information and manipulating the People" to further its own self interest and promoting the social political opendor of its officers. Please do your job and protect the interest of the People". These companies have shown that they will abuse their power. Giving them more power to control free speech on a national and worldwide level would under mine the very foundation of the Lonstitution.

D. I haven't seen a single news report debating the prost cons of changing the Broadcast Ownership Rules. Have you?

Prentice C. Crawford 207 Whitley Ave. Stanford, KY 40484-1448

CLYDE F. HALL

1803 Avenue P Huntsville, Texas 77340 936-293-1346

May 13, 2003

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAY 2 1 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

The Honorable Jonathan S. Adelstein Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Confirmed

MAY 2 2 2003

Distribution Camber

Dear Commissioner Adelstein:

Please do not relax the broadcast rules that protect me and others from a monopoly in the media. I now pay additional fees to receive news that is not available in the basic rate of my cable network, because I do not live in a large metropolitan area.

The proposal to allow those with lots of money to control the radio and television news in any or all areas across our nation is not the "American Way" of free speech. We need to be able to hear more than one viewpoint so that we can make an informed decision. I now have friends who want to visit me because of stations that I have that are not available to them because they have another provider of their cable.

I urge you to continue to support the broadcast ownership protection that we now have to ensures a healthy debate in the United States of America.

Yours truly,

 $^{\circ}$ FH $\cdot d_{\mathrm{t}}$

eristat de Lys Little en en en en en en en en

CLYDE F. HALL

1803 Avenue P Huntsville, Texas 77340 936-293-1346

May 13, 2003



Committee

The Honorable Kelvin J. Martin Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

MAY 2 2 2003

Dictribution Center

Dear Commissioner Martin:

Flease do not relax the broadcast rules that protect me and others from a monopoly in the media. I now pay additional fees to receive news that is not available in the basic rate of my cable network, because I do not live in a large metropolitan area.

The proposal to allow those with lots of money to control the radio and television news in any or all areas across our nation is not the "American Way" of free speech. We need to be able to hear more than one viewpoint so that we can make an informed decision. I now have friends who want to visit me because of stations that I have that are not available to them because they have another provider of their cable.

I urge you to continue to support the broadcast ownership protection that we now have to ensures a healthy debate in the United States of America.

Yours truly,

CFH;ct

JULIAN GONZALEZ, D.M.D.

8 South Bumby Avenue Orlando, FL 32803

Telephone: (407) 898-2565

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAY 2 1 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

5 1403

Contimed

Dear My Martin: Distriction Center

Please do not relax the

broad cast ownership rulas.

This will give even more power to this can glomorates,

Thank you.

sonorable rewin J. martin

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAY 2 1 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

I urge you not to relax the broadcast ownership rules that protect American citizens from media menopolies.

These proposed changes would pave the way for giant media conglomerates to gain near-total control of radio and television news and information in communities across our nation. And many of the corporations that are now lobbying the FCC to relax these ownership rules already have a known track record in attempting to keep opposing viewpoints off the air.

The American people deserve to hear more than one point of view on important issues. Therefore, for the sake of our democracy and our freedom I urge you to continue the broadcast ownership protections that, for decades, have helped to ensure a healthy political debate in our country.

Venard Walkins

Sincerely,

MAY 2 2 2003

Distribution Center

Nevard watking 1,6365 Diel Ad, marcelone mich

49659